SMALL GRANTS PROGRAMME RESULTS REPORT (FY 2017-2022)

SRI LANKA

COUNTRY REPORT CARD
JULY 2016 - JUNE 2022

Country Programme Name	Sri Lanka		
Year Started	1994		
Portfolio Profile	**GEF**	**Non-GEF**	**Total**
Number of projects	422	38	**460**
Grant amount committed	9,873,674	1,175,932	**11,049,606**
Project level co-financing in cash	2,405,872	208,831	**2,614,702**
Project level co-financing in kind	3,548,511	252,160	**3,800,671**
Total co-financing *			**7,591,306**

Source: SGP database as of July 2022
*** Total co-financing = Total project level co-financing (in cash and in kind) + Non-GEF grant amount committed**

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022
Focal Area Distribution (by completed projects)							
Biodiversity	**9**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**15**	**2**	**31**
Climate Change	**5**	**1**	**1**	**-**	**1**	**-**	**8**
Land Degradation	**3**	**1**	**-**	**-**	**6**	**5**	**15**
Capacity Development	**-**	**4**	**1**	**-**	**-**	**3**	**8**
International Waters	**-**	**-**	**1**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**1**
Chemicals and Waste	**-**	**1**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**1**
Total Projects Completed	**17**	**10**	**4**	**1**	**22**	**10**	**64**

Source: Reporting by Country Programme as part of Annual Monitoring Process (2016-2022)

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
** Kindly note figures in column "Total Value 2016-2022" have undergone comprehensive quality assurance that supports aggregation of results over time. This includes removal of duplicative data over time and/or inclusion of more results based on verification by SGP country teams.							
PROGRESS TOWARDS FOCAL AREA OBJECTIVES							
Biodiversity							
Number of biodiversity projects completed	9	3	1	1	15	2	31
Number of Protected Areas (PAs) positively influenced	3	-	5	5	9	2	24
Hectares of PAs	7,086	-	-	-	1,015	140	8,241
Number of Indigenous and Community Conserved Areas and Territories (ICCAs) positively influenced	-	-	-	2	8	-	10
Hectares of ICCAs	-	-	-	-	2,305	-	2,305
Number of biodiversity based products sustainably produced	8	1	-	-	41	-	50
Number of significant species conserved	5	1	2	-	15	-	23
Number of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	-	-	-	2	3	2	7
Hectares of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	-	-	-	150	21,736	140	22,026
Climate Change							
Number of climate change projects completed	5	1	1	-	1	-	8
Did the country programme address community-level barriers to deployment of low-GHG technologies? (yes/no)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	1
Hectares of forests and non-forest lands with restoration and enhancement of carbon stocks initiated through completed projects	209	-	-	-	-	-	209

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
Number of typologies of community-oriented, locally adapted energy access solutions with successful demonstrations or scaling up and replication	4	-	-	-	1	-	5
Number of communities achieving energy access with locally adapted community solutions, with co-benefits estimated and valued	4	2	-	-	24	-	30
Number of households achieving energy access co-benefits (ecosystem effects, income, health and others)	161	55	-	-	75	-	291
Breakdown of projects							
Low carbon technology and renewable energy projects	2	-	-	-	1	-	3
Energy efficiency solutions projects	3	-	1	-	-	-	4
Sustainable transport projects	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Land Degradation							
Number of land degradation projects completed	3	1	-	-	6	5	15
Number of community members with improved actions and practices that reduce negative impacts on land uses	408	5	-	12	1,406	574	2,405
Number of community members demonstrating sustainable land and forest management practices	408	-	-	15	658	574	1,655
Hectares of land brought under improved management practices	208	-	-	172	4,158	1,400	5,938
Number of farmer leaders involved in successful demonstrations of agro-ecological practices	80	2	-	4	6	19	111
Number of farmer organizations, groups or networks disseminating climate-smart agroecological practices	4	1	-	-	2	-	7

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
Sustainable Forest Management							
Hectares restored through improved forest management practices	-	-	-	220	9,998	-	10,218
International Waters							
Number of international waters projects completed	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Number of seascapes/inland freshwater landscapes	-	-	5	-	-	-	5
Hectares of marine/coastal areas of fishing grounds brought under sustainable management	-	-	3,200	-	-	-	3,200
Chemicals and Waste							
Number of chemicals and waste projects completed	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
Community-Based Tools/Approaches Deployed as Part of the Portfolio							
Awareness raising and capacity development	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	1
Capacity Development							
Number of capacity development projects completed	-	4	1	-	-	3	8
Number of civil society organizations with strengthened capacities	-	12	-	-	-	23	35
Number of community based organizations with strengthened capacities	-	12	-	-	-	-	12
Number of people with improved capacities to address global environmental issues at the community level	-	60	-	-	-	1,557	1,617
GRANTMAKER PLUS							
CSO-Government Dialogue							
Number of CSO-government dialogues supported	-	1	-	-	-	5	6
Number of CSO/CBO representatives involved in the dialogues	-	20	-	-	-	54	74

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
Gender							
Number of gender responsive completed projects	17	10	4	1	14	10	56
Number of completed projects led by women	11	3	2	-	7	5	28
Programme Management: NSC gender focal point (yes/no)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
Youth							
Number of completed projects that included youth	12	9	4	1	3	6	35
Number of youth organizations	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Programme Management: NSC youth focal point (yes/no)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4
Persons with Disability							
Number of disabled persons organizations	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
BROADER ADOPTION (Scaling up, Replication, Policy Influence, Improving Livelihoods)							
Projects replicated or scaled up	1	-	-	-	2	2	5
Projects with policy influence	4	-	-	-	2	1	7
Projects improving livelihoods of communities	17	8	1	1	7	6	40
PROGRAMME EFFECTIVENESS							
Peer-to-peer exchanges conducted	7	-	-	-	-	-	7
Community-level trainings conducted	55	10	-	-	-	-	65
Number of project monitoring visits	31	8	30	29	35	10	143

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT							
National Steering Committee							
Number of NSC meetings occurred during the reporting period	**4**	**7**	**9**	**5**	**5**	**3**	**33**
Average number of NSC members that participated in each NSC meeting	**8**	**8**	**9**	**8**	**10**	**9**	**9**
Average time in days needed to replace NSC member	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**30**	**30**	**10**

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF KEY RESULTS

Interpreting the Green Bars in Graphs: The presence of green bars indicates the number of years that the country programme has achieved specific results. If a green bar is absent, it signifies that while the associated result is not observed in the country programme, it is still evident in the overall aggregated SGP portfolio.



Number of Years Country Programme Deployed Gender Mainsreaming Strategies
(Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)

Number of Years Country Programme Deployed Strategies to Improve Community Livelihoods and Quality of Life

(Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)



Number of Years Country Programme Deployed Market-based and Financial Mechanisms to Improve Community Livelihoods

(Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)



Number of Years Country Programme Addressed Sustainable Development Goals

(Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)



EXAMPLES OF PROJECT RESULTS

Sustainable Forest Management

In **Sri Lanka**, a project implemented by the *Centre for Integrated Indigenous Knowledge Systems* has reduced the occurrence and spreading of forest fires by 50% in the Kandegama, Kobonilla, Hare Park villages and surrounding forest reserves. 7,000 trees were planted in the conservation areas of the Hare Park Estate and on land allocated by the Department of Forest. A fire protection belt was established by Agave plants. Other land was also rehabilitated mainly by off-farm soil conservation and by a limited amount of on-farm soil conservation. Young people of the community actively participated in all the project activities such as tree planting and maintenance activities, preparation and maintenance of fire belts, installation of notice boards for environmental protection, etc. 60 community members were trained on soil conservation methods. Two experience sharing programmes were conducted on the same topic. ***(Source: Annual Monitoring Report, 2020-2021).***

Capacity Development

For example, **Sri Lanka**, implemented a project with the objective to conduct a landscape-wide baseline assessment of the Knuckles Conservation Forest and Buffer Zone and develop a landscape strategy for building socio-ecological resilience through community engagement and stewardship. For this purpose, the grantee conducted literature reviews, field visits, pre-consultation meetings, selection of consultation participants, consultation workshops, analysis of baseline information and identification of knowledge gaps to prepare the landscape strategy. Design and translation of workshop materials were also a key part of the workshop. The key results of the project include understanding the biodiversity of the landscape, ecosystem protection and ecological interactions, diversity of local food systems, maintenance and use of local crop varieties and animal breeds, sustainable management of common resources, traditional knowledge related to biodiversity, and documentation of biodiversity knowledge. There was also improved understanding of women's knowledge and skills, community-based landscape governance, rights in relation to land, water and other natural resource management, as well as social capital, equity and income diversity. ***(Source: Annual Monitoring Report, 2017-2018)***

South-South Exchange

India, Bangladesh, **Sri Lanka**, Maldives, Bhutan, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, and Timor Leste: In *Nepal*, SGP supported *Health Care Foundation Nepal (HECAF)*, known for success in developing Nepala health care waste management system at small scale village level clinics. This waste management system has been implemented in 5 clinics in the Chitwan district and has drastically reduced the burning of medical waste and hence prevented the release of persistent organic pollutants into the environment, with a 73% reduction in waste generation. The project has also developed a guidance manual for health care waste management in small health care facilities. In 2016, a team of 60 participants from *India, Bangladesh, **Sri Lanka**, Maldives, Bhutan, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia*, and *Timor Leste*, convened in Kathmandu, Nepal for a workshop, funded by World Health Organization to learn from the SGP's experience on health waste management practices. Further uptake of HECAF's successfully used techniques and *modus operandi* is expected by professionals from visiting countries***. (Source: Annual Monitoring Report, 2016-2017).***

Scaling up, Replication and Policy Influence

In **Sri Lanka**, SGP project on Promotion of Bio Gas Technology & Efficient Rubber Drying Houses to Reduce Green House Gas Emissions Associated with the Rubber Industry in the Midland Forests of Kegalle District was awarded as first runner up at the International Society of Tropical Foresters (ISTF) Conference of the Yale Chapter. ISTF offers the Innovation Prize annually to honor outstanding interdisciplinary projects that address sustainable tropical forest use, conservation and the well-being of those living in or dependent on them. Human and Environment Development Organization (HEDO), with the assistance of the Rubber Research Institute and UNDP- GEF- SGP, addressed related environmental problems. They established five energy efficient rubber drying houses fueled by waste sawdust

which would have otherwise been disposed along riverbanks or open burning. As a result, the vast amount of greenhouse gases emitted in the production process is drastically reduced and has reduced health problems which arise due to inhaling smoke while working inside the conventional smoke houses. Further, these efficient drying houses enabled the beneficiaries to reach the highest quality of rubber sheets which resulted in higher profits. The NGO introduced biogas technology using rubber wastewater, converting this environmental hazard into profits. Two biogas plants were built as models which generate biogas from effluents discharged in the processing of rubber sheets as well as household waste. Mushroom cultivation was introduced as an optional livelihood when the income from rubber is low. A market chain was also established with the support of a private company and funding from Sampath Bank. SGP project expects that communities will remain in the rubber industry with the increase of income due to the value addition, and HEDO expects to upscale the project to national level**.** ***(Source: Annual Monitoring Report, 2016-2017)***

METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

All results are aggregated reflecting projects completed and are consistent with SGP results generated in past years.

With SGP's rolling modality, results reflect all ongoing operational phases during the indicated period. Please refer to the total projects completed on the first page for information in this regard.

The source of reported results is the annual monitoring process, which is part of the annual monitoring requirements for each country programme. Additionally, evaluative evidence sources have also been leveraged, if available for the country programme.

This results report benefits from extensive quality assurance. All information across all countries in the portfolio is harmonized, verified, and evidenced before being reported. Several layers of this quality assurance have been implemented in the generation of this report, and there are no result duplications across years. This point is important not only for the specific unit of measurement (i.e., indicator selected) but also for results aggregation across years in a given operational phase. Results reported across all countries have been treated uniformly to ensure overall standardization and methodological soundness.

Reported results include both direct and indirect global-environmental and socio-economic benefits. This is due to SGP's work in two key areas:

- **SGP works towards behavioral change at individual, organizational, and community levels.** Social determinants that shape human interaction with the environment play an important role, especially at the community level, as sustainability and the continuation of environmental gains often depend on them. These factors include positive shifts in knowledge, attitudes, practices, social and cultural norms, and conventions. Such interventions shape not only demand but also communication between community leaders and other influencers in promoting the adoption of environmentally friendly behaviors and practices. Often, SGP projects have ripple effects that go well beyond the direct scope of the project, emphasizing the importance of measuring indirect impact.
- **Encouraging Community Action for Environmental Change.** For many years, SGP has focused on promoting and supporting local community groups to bring about broader and sustainable environmental change. This approach is a key aspect of SGP's work and recognizes the power of motivated community groups to create significant impact and drive positive transformation. Community group action refers to informal gatherings of individuals and organizations in the community who share a common belief and purpose. It involves taking practical steps over time to address environmental and socioeconomic challenges and creating positive change. This grassroots-level approach relies on the active involvement and empowerment of the community, with the initial efforts acting as a catalyst for further mobilization. By encouraging self-governance and involving those most affected by the issues, community action can extend its influence to more people in the community, underscoring the importance of measuring indirect impact.