



SMALL GRANTS PROGRAMME RESULTS REPORT (FY 2017-2022)

IRAN



COUNTRY REPORT CARD JULY 2016 - JUNE 2022

		JULIZ	JTO - JOIN				
Country Programme Name		Iran					
Year Started		2001					
Portfolio Profile	GEF	Non-GEF	Total				
Number of projects	270	57	327				
Grant amount committed	5,959,525	1,156,000	7,115,525				
Project level co-financing in cash	5,067,337	43,732	5,111,069				
Project level co-financing in kind	22,960,349	466,717	23,427,066				
Total co-financing *			29,694,135				
Source: SGP database as of July 2022							
* Total co-financing = Total project lev	vel co-financing (in ca	ash and in kind) + N	on-GEF grant				
amount committed							
	July 2016 - June	July 2017 -	July 2018 -	July 2019 -	July 2020 -	July 2021 -	Total Value
	2017	June 2018	June 2019	June 2020	June 2021	June 2022	2016 - 2022
Focal Area Distribution (by com	pleted projects)						
Biodiversity	3	1	-	8	2	2	16
Climate Change	6	-	2	2	1	-	11
Land Degradation	1	-	-	-	2	5	8
Sustainable Forest Management	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Capacity Development	1	1	-	1	1	3	7
International Waters	1	-	-	1	1	2	5
Chemicals and Waste	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Total Projects Completed	14	2	2	12	7	12	49

Source: Reporting by Country Programme as part of Annual Monitoring Process (2016-2022)

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
** Kindly note figures in column "Total Value 2016-2022" removal of duplicative data over time and/or inclusion of					aggregation of re	esults over time.	This includes
PROGRESS TOWARDS FOCAL AREA OBJE			, ,	, ,			
Biodiversity							
Number of biodiversity projects completed	3	1	-	8	2	2	16
Number of Protected Areas (PAs) positively influenced	1	-	-	4	1	-	6
Hectares of PAs	465,181	-	-	88,260	85,000	-	638,441
Number of Indigenous and Community Conserved Areas and Territories (ICCAs) positively influenced	-	1	-	3	7	-	11
Hectares of ICCAs	-	12,000	-	5	19,665	-	31,670
Number of biodiversity based products sustainably produced	5	10	-	6	3	5	29
Number of significant species conserved	2	6	-	2	17	1	28
Number of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	1	1	-	7	-	-	9
Hectares of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable	465 404	12.000		00.265			ECE 440
use Climate Change	465,181	12,000	-	88,265	-	-	565,446
Number of climate change projects completed Did the country programme address community- level barriers to deployment of low-GHG	6		2	2	1	-	11
technologies? (yes/no) Hectares of forests and non-forest lands with restoration and enhancement of carbon stocks	-	No	No	Yes	No	No	1
initiated through completed projects	48	-	-	-	12	-	60

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
Number of typologies of community-oriented, locally adapted energy access solutions with successful demonstrations or scaling up and replication	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Breakdown of projects							
Low carbon technology and renewable energy projects	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Energy efficiency solutions projects	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Sustainable transport projects	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Conservation and enhancement of carbon stocks projects	1	-	-	-	1	-	2
Land Degradation							
Number of land degradation projects completed Number of community members with improved	1	-	-	-	2	5	8
actions and practices that reduce negative impacts on land uses	80	-	-	-	-	25	105
Number of community members demonstrating sustainable land and forest management practices	383	-	-	-	174	25	582
Hectares of land brought under improved management practices	3,200	-	-	-	175	-	3,375
Number of farmer leaders involved in successful demonstrations of agro-ecological practices	83	-	-	-	42	-	125
Number of farmer organizations, groups or networks disseminating climate-smart							
agroecological practices Sustainable Forest Management	83	-	-	-	1	-	84
Number of sustainable forest management projects							
completed	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Hectares restored through improved forest management practices	37,000	-	-	-	-	-	37,000


	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
International Waters							
Number of international waters projects completed	1	-	-	1	1	2	5
Number of seascapes/inland freshwater landscapes	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Land based pollution reduced (tons)	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Hectares of marine/coastal areas of fishing grounds brought under sustainable management	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
Hectares of seascapes covered under improved community conservation and sustainable use management systems	-	-	-	_	78,000	-	78,000
Chemicals and Waste							
Number of chemicals and waste projects completed	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Number of national coalitions and networks on chemicals and waste management established or strengthened	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Community-Based Tools/Approaches Deployed	as Part of the	Portfolio					
Awareness raising and capacity development	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	2
Capacity Development							
Number of capacity development projects completed	1	1	-	1	1	3	7
Number of civil society organizations with strengthened capacities	-	1	-	-	50	1	52
Number of community based organizations with strengthened capacities	-	-	-	-	60	2	62
Number of people with improved capacities to address global environmental issues at the community level	-	20	-	700	320	35	1,075

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
GRANTMAKER PLUS							
CSO-Government Dialogue						1	
Number of CSO-government dialogues supported	6	-	12	31	-	-	49
Number of CSO/CBO representatives involved in the dialogues	20	-	21	31	-	-	72
Gender							
Number of gender responsive completed projects	14	-	2	2	5	12	35
Number of completed projects led by women	5	-	-	-	1	4	10
Programme Management: NSC gender focal point (yes/no)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
Indigenous Peoples				Γ			1
Number of completed projects that included indigenous peoples	1	1	-	2	-	2	6
Programme Management: NSC IP focal point (yes/no)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	5
Ways to encourage IP projects							
Involved indigenous peoples in NSC and/or TAG (yes/no)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	1
Enhanced outreach and networking with indigenous people's groups (yes/no)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	4
Youth						1	
Number of completed projects that included youth	-	1	-	11	5	4	21
Programme Management: NSC youth focal point (yes/no)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5
BROADER ADOPTION (Scaling up, Replica	tion, Policy	Influence, li	mproving Liv	velihoods)			
Projects replicated or scaled up	3	-	-	4	2	-	9
Projects with policy influence	2	-	1	1	2	-	6

	July 2016 - June 2017	July 2017 - June 2018	July 2018 - June 2019	July 2019 - June 2020	July 2020 - June 2021	July 2021 - June 2022	Total Value 2016 - 2022 **
Projects improving livelihoods of communities	20	-	1	4	6	1	32
PROGRAMME EFFECTIVENESS							
Peer-to-peer exchanges conducted	5	-	-	8	-	24	37
Community-level trainings conducted	25	-	-	3	-	18	46
Number of project monitoring visits	10	2	6	19	-	7	44
PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT							
National Steering Committee							
Number of NSC meetings occurred during the reporting period	2	3	5	2	12	10	34
Average number of NSC members that participated in each NSC meeting	11	12	10	11	8	9	10
Average time in days needed to replace NSC member	180	365	7	30	10	15	101

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF KEY RESULTS


Interpreting the Green Bars in Graphs: The presence of green bars indicates the number of years that the country programme has achieved specific results. If a green bar is absent, it signifies that while the associated result is not observed in the country programme, it is still evident in the overall aggregated SGP portfolio.




Number of Years Country Programme Deployed Gender Mainsreaming Strategies (Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)




Number of Years Country Programme Deployed Strategies to Improve Community Livelihoods and Quality of Life (Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)





Number of Years Country Programme Addressed Sustainable Development Goals (Over 6-year reporting period from 2017-2022)



EXAMPLES OF PROJECT RESULTS

Sustainable Land Management

In **Iran**, SGP supported a project that addressed the role of dust storms in mortality of Oak -Zagros forests and solution for rehabilitation management in llam province in western Iran. The project objectives were to undertake an overall assessment of forest ecosystems of Ilam, selection of pilot sites and rehabilitation management of a pilot ecosystem with local community collaboration. With SGP support, activities undertaken included identification and introduction of genetic diversity of oak forests of Ilam province as a basis for the rehabilitation management; determination of stable intra-species varieties of oak to apply rehabilitation management; provision of certified seedlings and introduction and promotion of biologicalfertilizers in each ecosystem; and assessment of ecological, economic, socio-cultural potentials of selected sites for rehabilitation management. Project implementation also supported community-based support in protection of critically endangered *Asiatic Black Bear (Ursus thibetanus gedrosianus)* through protection of vegetative cover of *Daz plant (Nannorrhops ritchiana)*, which is a consumption staple of the bear, against land degradation. This was done by creating necessary financial incentives for the locals to protect Daz vegetative cover by promoting locally made Daz handicrafts and facilitating access to markets for them. Project has contributed to increasing awareness on conservation values of Asiatic black bears amongst local communities and 1,200 hectares has been protected and brought under sustainable land management with potential for further upscaling to 50,000 hectares. This demonstration of reclaimed land serves as an example of how local communities *are* and *can* manage and rehabilitate forest land for wildlife conservation. *(Source: Annual Monitoring Report, 2016-2017)*

METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

All results are aggregated reflecting projects completed and are consistent with SGP results generated in past years.

With SGP's rolling modality, results reflect all ongoing operational phases during the indicated period. Please refer to the total projects completed on the first page for information in this regard.

The source of reported results is the annual monitoring process, which is part of the annual monitoring requirements for each country programme. Additionally, evaluative evidence sources have also been leveraged, if available for the country programme.

This results report benefits from extensive quality assurance. All information across all countries in the portfolio is harmonized, verified, and evidenced before being reported. Several layers of this quality assurance have been implemented in the generation of this report, and there are no result duplications across years. This point is important not only for the specific unit of measurement (i.e., indicator selected) but also for results aggregation across years in a given operational phase. Results reported across all countries have been treated uniformly to ensure overall standardization and methodological soundness.

Reported results include both direct and indirect global-environmental and socio-economic benefits. This is due to SGP's work in two key areas:

- SGP works towards behavioral change at individual, organizational, and community levels. Social determinants that shape human interaction with the environment play an important role, especially at the community level, as sustainability and the continuation of environmental gains often depend on them. These factors include positive shifts in knowledge, attitudes, practices, social and cultural norms, and conventions. Such interventions shape not only demand but also communication between community leaders and other influencers in promoting the adoption of environmentally friendly behaviors and practices. Often, SGP projects have ripple effects that go well beyond the direct scope of the project, emphasizing the importance of measuring indirect impact.
- Encouraging Community Action for Environmental Change. For many years, SGP has focused on promoting and supporting local community groups to bring about broader and sustainable environmental change. This approach is a key aspect of SGP's work and recognizes the power of motivated community groups to create significant impact and drive positive transformation. Community group action refers to informal gatherings of individuals and organizations in the community who share a common belief and purpose. It involves taking practical steps over time to address environmental and socioeconomic challenges and creating positive change. This grassroots-level approach relies on the active involvement and empowerment of the community, with the initial efforts acting as a catalyst for further mobilization. By encouraging self-governance and involving those most affected by the issues, community action can extend its influence to more people in the community, underscoring the importance of measuring indirect impact.